Your comments regarding the course you have just completed would be most helpful as a basis for evaluating the present program and for improvement or modification of future European Operations courses.

1. Do you feel that this course achieved its stated objectives? If not, please specify in what ways you believe the course fell short of its objectives. (Please refer to the schedule for a precise statement of the course objectives.)

a. No X

b. Yes, to a slight degree

c. Yes, to a moderate degree

d. Yes, to a considerable degree

e. Yes, to an exceptional degree

Comments: Course was movements, mill Apparently Regarded By Link as BRIENN425X1C1A2 Exclusively. This is Redications.

Comments: Course was moved break the significant of the service was a serial many of the service of the service

2. Of what value to you consider this course to you in your present or next assignment?

a. Slight

b. Moderate

c. Considerable X.

d. Extraordinary

ALXTRADROINARY IT CONSIDERED WITHOUT RESPECT TO ASSIGNMENT SUL TO GENERAL INFO WHICH CS OFF OFFICER.

3. Please note the presentation(s) which you found of greatest value and which you found of least value. List as many as desired.

a. Of greatest value:

b. Of least value:



4. How interesting have you found the course overall?

a. Of slight interest

b. Of moderate interest

c. Of great interest.
Approved For Release 2002/01/07: CIA-RDP78-05795A000300030036-2

5 t 6 k 3 T

25X1A9A

Approved For Release 2002/01/09: CA-REP78-05795A000300030036-2

Please answer the following questions as fully as possible, since one-word or extremely brief replies provide little basis upon which to judge the merits or weaknesses of the course.

25X1A

5. Given the course's stated objectives, do you consider the course sufficiently comprehensive? Are there any subjects of European operational concern, which you feel should have been included in the course, but were not? Conversely, are there any subjects which you consider extraneous and feel should be dropped?

OC-RAS 101 15 GENERAL CORSE LOCKED BE HELPFIL It, IN COOPERATION KUR Ops DESKETINES (AK UP GRADE SKEINEITY & OTHER STANDARDS), COURSE COULD BE MORE INTENSALY ORIENTED TO EUR PROBLEMS + DISTRITURES, I WOODEN WREEK INCREASE IN HALF 6. Do you feel there was excessive duplication or overlap of material presented under the various subject headings within the course?

No. COURSE WELL INTEGRATED,

 Jid you find that the interrelationship of the various topics was adequately demonstrated in this course?

GENSING STATE MEMBER THROUGH ENTIRE COURSE WITH STUDENTS. HE COULD THEN NOTE ONTISSIONS, OR BE BURILIBLE POR COMMENT ON THE WILL ARRIVES BETEREN MARIOUS TEUNIQUES, EQUIPMENT, DEMINSTRATED, AUTHER OF SWASTANCE OF OTHER PRESENTATIONS

(Use reverse if necessary)

Approved For Release 2002/01/09: CA-RDP 8-05795A000300030036-2

8. Do you think there was a proper balance between lecture, discussion, and reading period? If not, please specify recommendations for improvement.

GIVEN TRCH LEVEL OF GROUPS WINED MCHEASE TIME / MEQUENCY OF FIELD EXERCISES AND SCHOOL (possibly evening) ascussion periods, in which sousens lenco carring learning THERE COMPREHENSION OF ESPECIALLY TREHMEAL MATTERS.

9. Do you think the reading materials were adequate?

N. 4

10. If this course were optional, would you recommend it to others?

ABSOLUTREY, / WORKS MAKE 17 OPPTIONAL HOUSEVER, AS SYMPOSES TO MANDANTORY SO HART LOS AT HAS Could bet it NOT WARN PROCESSING ron over seas (ALONG LITH LANGUAGE, SB yes, ETC) BUT AS SPACE/SLOTS REPORTED

11. Do you have any other comments, criticism or recommendations?

/ HAVE HAD INTIMATE ASSECTATION WITH COMMO PROPERS & COMMO PRERSONNEL POR KIGHTEEN YEARS, I KIND YO MY CHAGRIN, THOSK TWO PARK NOT STNOMIGNOUS, AND MINEN OF UM PREBLEM HAS BEEN THE PRODUCT OF INSUFFICIENT PERSONAL MMILIARING WITH CRRYAIN ALMSICAL LASSIS IN TECHNICAL FACTS. (Use reverse if necessary) ONE WERK OF MY TIME IS AN EXPENSE WHICH WE CONGO EASING PREFERD, AND IT SHEWAS HAVE Approved For Release 2002/81/07 E CLARRED PT 8-05

ELR